

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN BRAND LOYALTY TOWARDS FASHION BRANDS AMONG GENERATION Y STUDENTS

Heleneze-Tiane Lues

North-West University, South Africa
21471045@nwu.ac.za

Natasha de Klerk

North-West University, South Africa
Natasha.DeKlerk@nwu.ac.za

—Abstract—

The purpose of this study was to determine African Generation Y male and female students' brand loyalty towards their preferred fashion brands. In South Africa, the African Generation Y cohort (individuals born between 1986 and 2005) represents an important market segment particularly to fashion marketers, given the size of this market segment and their spending on products such as clothing. The increase in the number of international fashion brands available in South Africa has given these consumers more brands from which to choose. This, of course, means that the competition among fashion retailers and brands is more intense than ever before. As a result, effective differentiation such as branding is increasingly essential in targeting consumers, including Generation Y consumers. In addition, fashion marketers and brand managers need to understand their target markets' preferences and how to earn brand loyalty. A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect the data from a convenience sample of 750 students registered at three South African public higher education institution campuses in Gauteng. The collected data were analysed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis and multiple regression analysis. The findings suggest that for African Generation Y male students, brand awareness and brand association predict their brand loyalty, whereas with female students, perceived quality, brand awareness and brand association predict their brand loyalty. As such, marketers should focus on enhancing brand awareness and brand association of fashion clothing when targeting both male and female students, as well as on improving perceived quality when targeting female students.

Keywords: Fashion brands, brand loyalty, South Africa, African Generation Y students, gender

JEL Classification: M30, M31**1. INTRODUCTION**

With an annual revenue of up to US\$2.5 trillion globally (Gunther, 2016), the fashion industry is considered one of the key players in the global economy (Singer, 2015). In 2016, the textiles, clothing, footwear and leather goods industry in South Africa recorded retail sales of approximately R172 billion, 10.5 percent more than the previous year (Stats SA, 2017). While this industry is one of the main contributors to the retail trade sales in South Africa, it does not come without challenges. South-African fashion retailers are experiencing more competition now than ever before, as a result of low-priced and low-quality clothing imports (Brand South Africa, 2014), and numerous international brand such H&M, Cotton On and ZARA, which have expanded into the South African market (Douglas, 2016). In addition to the increased number of clothing brands available to consumers, fashion retailers also have to face changes in consumers' lifestyles and shopping patterns (Kurtulus & Ertekin, 2015), including their demand for fast fashion trends (Comins, 2016). These challenges, along with consumers' spending that are under pressure (Mahlaka, 2017) due to limited household budgets (Moodley, 2016), emphasise the importance of effective differentiation (PRNewswire, 2015).

Branding is an important means of differentiating one's product from competing products (Sinha, Ahuja & Medury, 2011), and involves linking a specific logo or name to a product (Das, 2011). A fashion brand would be any apparel, accessory or cosmetic product with distinctive characteristics that differentiates it from competing products (Levy & Weitz, 2012). The value that the brand adds to a product in addition to its functional benefits is known as brand equity (Elliot, Rundle-Thiele & Waller, 2012), which is a vital concept to improve brand loyalty (Huang & Cai, 2015). This brand equity gives a brand a sustainable differentiated advantage (Neal & Strauss, 2008) and significantly influences consumers' decision-making (Creutz & Senning, 2006). Consumers generally respond differently to branded products compared to unbranded products (Maher, 2014). In addition, international brands are generally favoured over national brands due to the higher status associated with international brands (Lee, Kumar & Kim, 2010). This proves that brands are valuable intangible assets (Matzler, Grabner-Kräuter & Bidmon, 2006). However, effective brand management is essential for building or maintaining a strong brand (Kang, 2010).

Brand management focusses on creating and maintaining a positive perception of the brand in the consumers' minds (Spindler, 2011), typically by making use of marketing activities (Nasrabadi & Zandi, 2015; Yoo, Donthu & Lee, 2000). More specifically, this process involves adapting a brand as changes in the market occurs (Mohammadian & Dehabadi, 2012) as well as leveraging existing brands or establishing new brands (Sandner, 2009). A strong brand conveys a perception of positive brand equity, hence greater value (Healey, 2008), which subsequently encourages brand loyalty among consumers (Funk & Levis, 2009; Schäfer, 2005). Given the competitive and economic benefits that brand loyalty provides to a company and its essential role in brand management, building strong brands and gaining consumers' loyalty are significant to marketing managers (Matzler et al., 2006).

The term brand loyalty refers to a consumer's insistence on a particular brand. This behaviour is seen when a consumer repeatedly purchases the same product (Lee et al., 2010). For a customer to become loyal to a brand, the consumer should be satisfied with the brand (Funk & Levis, 2009) as well as resistant to switching to alternative brands (Aaker & McLoughlin, 2010; Neal & Strauss, 2008). While brand loyal customers are considered a valuable asset (Aaker & McLoughlin, 2010), building and maintaining such loyalty is a challenge (Dibb, Simkin, Pride & Ferrell, 2012). This, of course, emphasises the importance of understanding how brand loyalty is created as well as the factors that influence and drive brand loyalty. According to Tong and Hawley (2009) and Yoo et al. (2000), a consumers' brand loyalty is typically driven by their brand awareness, perceived quality and brand associations.

Brand awareness represents a brand's presence in the consumers' minds. In terms of purchase decision-making, brand awareness is a requirement for a brand to be taken into consideration (Jooste, Strydom, Berndt & Du Plessis, 2012). This means that a consumer's awareness of a specific brand increases the probability of that brand being considered within decision-making (West, Ford & Ibrahim, 2010). That is, a consumer will not purchase a specific brand without first being aware of that brand (Malik, Ghafoor, Iqbal, Riaz, Hassan, Mustafa & Shahbaz, 2013). In order for a brand to register in a consumer's memory, a high level of exposure to that brand is required. Through repeated exposure to a brand, the consumer's familiarity with the brand increases, which, subsequently, creates brand awareness (Conradie, Roberts-Lombard & Klopper, 2014). This brand awareness is a precursor to the formation of brand associations, including

perceptions of brand quality (Buil, Chernatony & Martínez, 2013) and, ultimately, brand loyalty (Tong & Hawley, 2009).

Perceived quality signifies a consumer's perception or personal judgment about the overall quality of a brand compared to competing brands (Chattopadhyay, Shivani & Krishnan, 2009). In addition, perceived quality often indicates a consumer's perception of the product's benefits relative to its price. As such, perceptions of high quality translate into a brand being viewed as being both superior to and offering better value than other brands (Yoo et al., 2000). Aaker and McLoughlin (2010) warn that the perceived quality of a product or brand could be different from the actual quality, given that the consumers' quality perceptions are subject to their interpretation of cues such as product quality, advertising, price and other product users. Regardless of the fact that the consumers' quality perceptions may be different from the actual quality, their perception will have an influence on their brand associations (Tong & Hawley 2009), which then will influence their attitude towards the brand (Schäfer, 2005), as well as their brand selection (Yoo et al., 2000).

Brand association refers to any connection, direct or indirect, that a consumer attaches to a brand (Aaker & McLoughlin, 2010; West et al., 2010). These associations may be tangible attributes, human characteristics, specific people that are associated with the brand or even relate to the company's skills (Jooste et al., 2012). According to Ergin, Özdemir and Özsaçmacı (2006) and Jooste et al. (2012), brand associations will influence the consumer's attitudes or feelings towards a brand. As a result, brand associations play a profound role in consumers' decision-making (Chen, Yeh & Jheng, 2013). Yoo et al. (2000) advise that increased brand exposure leads to stronger brand associations. As a result, the brand would be differentiated more effectively from alternative brands (Ergin et al., 2006), which ultimately assists in building brand loyalty (Abdullah, 2012).

According to Jin and Koh (1999) and Lee (2011), male and female consumers go through different formation processes when developing loyalty towards clothing brands. This comes as no surprise given that gender is known to influence consumers' attitudes, perceptions, preferences and purchase decisions (Pandian, Varathani & Keerthivasan, 2012). For this reason, Pandian et al. (2012) indicate that gender is a valuable variable for understanding consumer behaviour. In terms of brand awareness and association, Bae and Miller (2009) report that female college students are more brand aware than males, specifically regarding sports apparel. Likewise, Yasin (2009) found that in comparison to male consumers,

female consumers tend to be more brand aware and more prone to seek out well-known brands. In line with these findings, Chen et al. (2008) found that Taiwanese female consumers form a greater number of brand associations than males. Ünal and Ercis, (2008) explain that because female consumers are often concerned about buying new and trendy fashion products, they tend to purchase different brands from various stores in order to obtain the latest trends. Conversely, male consumers are less likely to purchase products that are different to those that they are familiar with. With regard to perceived quality, Shabbir and Safwan (2014) found that both male and female Pakistani consumers are quality conscious when it comes to apparel. However, in a study conducted by Bae and Miller (2009), female college students were found to be more quality conscious than males. Ünal and Ercis (2008) explain that female consumers will go to great lengths to obtain high quality products, whereas for male consumers while it is important to have attractive styles and quality products, they will not make extensive efforts to find high quality products.

The Generation Y cohort (individuals born between 1986 and 2005) (Markert, 2004), also known as millennials, is considered the largest consumer segment in the world (Foscht, Schloffer, Maloles & Chia, 2009). In South Africa, the Generation Y cohort accounted for 37 percent of the total South African population 2016, with 84 percent of this generation cohort comprising African individuals (Stats SA, 2016), making the African Generation Y market segment a particularly valuable target market in South Africa. Those pursuing a university education are likely to be especially important to marketers in that a tertiary qualification is generally associated with a higher future earning potential (Bevan-Dye, Dhurup & Surujlal, 2009) and, consequently, higher future disposable income and aggregate spending (Bevan-Dye, 2015). The student population in South Africa consist of 985 212 students (Student Village, 2017), which typically range between the ages of 18 and 24 years (Tan, Teoh, Tan, Teo & Tan, 2013). These South African students spend more than the average South African consumer, with premium clothing being one of the product categories that accounts for most of Generation Y consumers' high value expenditure (Student Village, 2017). This shows that for Generation Y consumers, the emphasis is no longer placed on merely purchasing a clothing product but rather on purchasing a brand name (Fernandez & Lean, 2009). Therefore, it is no surprise that these consumers are quality (McDevitt, 2013) and brand conscious (Hanzaee & Aghasibeig, 2008) and, as a result, have preferred clothing brands that they are attached and loyal to (Fernandez, 2009). In light of this, it is anticipated that most

of the brand loyal Generation Y consumers will remain loyal to the brands that they currently purchase (Schawbel, 2015). Therefore, it is essential to earn their loyalty as early as possible. Given the important role gender is believed to play in understanding apparel brand selection behaviour, the purpose of this study was to determine African Generation Y male and female students' brand loyalty towards their preferred fashion brands.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The target population used in this study was defined as full-time undergraduate African Generation Y students aged between 18 and 24 years. Non-probability judgement sampling was used to select three higher education institution campuses in the Gauteng province to ensure that the sample included students from a traditional university, a university of technology and a comprehensive university. The Gauteng province was selected as it has the largest share of the South African student population (DHET, 2016). A single cross-sectional non-probability convenience sample of 750 students was selected to be part of the main study. The convenience sampling method was employed, given that the selection of sample elements is depended on the lecturers' willingness to allow their students to participate in the study. In order to determine African Generation Y male and female students' brand equity perceptions of their preferred clothing brand, a scale in accordance with the literature pertaining to the brand equity dimensions was used. A self-administered structured questionnaire that included a cover letter explaining the purpose of the study, and a Section A and B was used to collect the required data for this study. Section A included questions requesting the participants' demographic information. Section B was designed to measure the participants' brand equity perceptions and included 17 items harvested from the scales of Gil, Andrés, and Salinas (2007), Tong and Hawley (2009) and Yoo et al. (2000). The scale items were divided into four constructs, namely brand loyalty (five items), brand awareness (four items), perceived quality (four items) and brand association (four items). The scaled responses were measured on a six-point Likert-type scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (6).

Prior to embarking on the main study, the questionnaire was piloted to assess the internal-consistency reliability of the scale items. A convenience sample of 41 participants took part in the pilot study. The brand equity perceptions scale returned a Cronbach alpha value of 0.873, which exceeds the recommended level of 0.70 (Field, 2009), suggesting internal consistency reliability. Following the pilot study, the questionnaire was administered to the sample of the main study.

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the North-West University (Vaal Campus) and the questionnaire obtained an ethics clearance number (ethics clearance number: ECONIT-ECON-2014-017). Lecturers at the three higher education institution campuses were contacted and asked to distribute the questionnaires to their students during class time. The ethics clearance certificate and a copy of the questionnaire were shown to the participating lecturers. Once consent was given, the questionnaire was distributed to the students for voluntary completion during a single class period. The data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), Version 23.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Of the 750 questionnaires distributed, 625 questionnaires were usable. As shown in Table 1, the sample of this study comprised more female participants than males. Concerning the higher education institutions, the largest portion of the sample came from a traditional university. Furthermore, the majority of the participants were 20 years of age, followed by those who indicated being 21 years of age.

Table 1: Sample description

| Gender | Percent (%) | Institution | Percent (%) | Age | Percent (%) |
|--------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----|-------------|
| Male | 47% | Traditional University | 43% | 18 | 17% |
| Female | 53% | University of Technology | 32% | 19 | 17% |
| | | | | 20 | 25% |
| | | | | 21 | 20% |
| | | | | 22 | 11% |
| | | | | 23 | 8% |
| | | | | 24 | 3% |

The Cronbach alpha and the average inter-item correlation coefficients were computed for each brand equity dimension in order to assess the internal-consistency reliability of the measurement instrument. The Cronbach alpha values of the individual dimensions ranged between 0.773 and 0.857, exceeding the recommended level of 0.70 (Field, 2009), thereby indicating acceptable internal-consistency reliability.

The descriptive statistics were calculated, followed by the calculation of the Pearson's Product-Moment correlation to determine the relationship between

brand loyalty, brand awareness, perceived quality and brand association. The means, standard deviations and correlation coefficients are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics and Correlation coefficients

| Constructs | Mean | Standard deviation | Brand loyalty | Brand awareness | Perceived quality | Brand association |
|--------------------------|------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Brand loyalty | 4.31 | 1.04 | 1 | | | |
| Brand awareness | 5.13 | 0.78 | 0.380* | 1 | | |
| Perceived quality | 5.05 | 0.89 | 0.320* | 0.434* | 1 | |
| Brand association | 4.78 | 0.78 | 0.421* | 0.479* | 0.441* | 1 |

*Significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The means of all four brand equity dimensions were above 3.5, indicating that the combined sample of male and female African Generation Y students are brand aware, have high quality perceptions of branded clothing, perceive their preferred clothing brand to be clearly differentiated from competing brands and are brand loyal to their preferred clothing brand. As indicated in Table 2, there are statistically significant positive relationships between all four brand equity dimensions, ranging between ($r=0.320$; $p<0.01$) and ($r=0.479$; $p<0.01$).

Subsequent to the correlation analysis, regression analysis was conducted to determine the influence of African Generation Y male and female students' brand awareness, perceived quality and brand association on their brand loyalty. The regression model summary and ANOVA results are presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Regression model summary and ANOVA results

| | R | R ² | Adjusted R2 | F | p-values |
|--|-------|----------------|-------------|--------|--------------|
| Model 1 (Brand loyalty among males) | 0.475 | 0.226 | 0.218 | 27.973 | 0.000 |
| Model 2 (Brand loyalty among females) | 0.480 | 0.230 | 0.223 | 32.837 | 0.000 |

As is evident in Table 3, the significant F-ratios ($p \leq 0.01$) suggest that Regression Model 1 predicts African Generation Y males' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand and Regression Model 2 predicts African Generation Y females' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand. The R² values of Models 1 and 2 indicate that almost 23 percent of the variance in African Generation Y male students' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand

(Model 1) and 23 percent of the variance in African Generation Y female students' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand (Model 2) is explained by the three independent variables. However, since 77 percent of the variation in Model 1 and Model 2 is not explained by these three dimensions, it is clear that other factors influence African Generation Y male and female students' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand.

The next step after performing the regression analysis is to determine how much each dimension contributes toward the prediction of brand loyalty towards clothing brands, as reported on in Table 4.

Table 4: Contribution of independent variables to predict brand loyalty among male and female students

| Independent variables | Males | | | Females | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| | Standardised beta coefficient | t-values | p-values | Standardised beta coefficient | t-values | p-values |
| Brand awareness | 0.160 | 2.464 | 0.014 | 0.225 | 4.060 | 0.000 |
| Perceived quality | 0.098 | 1.502 | 0.134 | 0.118 | 2.206 | 0.028 |
| Brand association | 0.301 | 4.569 | 0.000 | 0.267 | 4.834 | 0.000 |

The results shown in Table 4, suggest that brand awareness ($\beta = 0.160$, $0.014 < 0.05$) and brand association ($\beta = 0.301$, $0.000 < 0.05$) have a statistically significant positive influence on African Generation Y male students' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand. The largest beta coefficient was recorded on brand association, which means that brand association makes the strongest contribution to explain African Generation Y male students' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand.

For African Generation Y female students, the findings suggest that brand awareness ($\beta = 0.225$, $0.000 < 0.05$), perceived quality ($\beta = 0.118$, $0.028 < 0.05$) and brand association ($\beta = 0.267$, $0.000 < 0.05$) have a statistically significant positive influence on their brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand. Brand awareness and brand association had the largest beta coefficients, therefore make the strongest contribution to explaining African Generation Y female students' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

Brands and brand management are becoming more important for all fashion brands and retailers, especially since effective differentiation from competitors is imperative to survive in the highly competitive fashion industry. This challenge combined with the limited budgets of most South African households, highlights the importance of earning consumers' loyalty as early as possible. By understanding the factors that drives consumer loyalty towards fashion brands, brand managers and marketers are in a better position to implement strategies that are more effective in terms of earning customers' loyalty. The findings of this study suggest that brand awareness and brand association have a statistically significant positive influence on African Generation Y male students' brand loyalty towards fashion brands, with brand association being the largest contributor towards male students' brand loyalty. Conversely, African Generation Y female students' brand loyalty towards fashion brands is significantly influenced by all three of the brand loyalty drivers, namely brand awareness, perceived quality and brand association, with brand association also being the strongest driver of female students' brand loyalty.

The significant influence of brand awareness and brand association on both African Generation Y male and female consumers' brand loyalty towards fashion brands suggest that these consumers purchase and become loyal to fashion brands that they are highly aware of and have strong brand associations with. In order to enhance brand loyalty, marketers and brand managers should increase consumers' awareness of the brand and strengthen the brand associations. As such, it is recommended that marketers and brand managers, targeting African Generation Y consumers, align their marketing strategies to create as much exposure to the brand as possible. In addition, it is advised that marketers and brand managers engage more effectively with their customers and create a better brand experience for their customers by ensuring positive interactions with the products, in stores and on social media in order to link the desired feelings and perceptions to the brand. Although perceived quality did not have a significant positive influence on the brand loyalty of African Generation Y male students, it does not necessarily mean that quality is not important to these consumers. Quality remains important to African Generation Y males, however, compared to their female counterparts, they will not make extensive efforts to find high quality products or brands. Moreover, for male consumers, other factors such as the price of the brand may be a more important deciding factor than quality, especially with the South-African

household budgets being limited. For African Generation Y female consumers, perceived quality have a significant positive influence on their brand loyalty towards fashion brands. This suggests that African Generation Y female consumers will go through extensive efforts to find high quality products and brands, which subsequently, could lead to brand loyalty. Therefore, marketers and brand managers wishing to target female African Generation Y consumers should consider focusing on providing quality products and enhancing the quality features of the product. Moreover, marketers and brand managers should increase the quality appeal of their brand in their marketing communications.

5. LIMITATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Despite the contribution of this study, some limitations can be identified, which provide opportunities for future research. A single cross-sectional approach was followed in this study. By following a longitudinal approach, the changes in African Generation Y students' brand loyalty could be determined. Furthermore, this study focused on African Generation Y consumers in Gauteng, South Africa. As a result, the opportunity exists to conduct a comparative study across South Africa as well as across various countries. The study could also be extended to other generational cohorts, which will provide a basis for comparisons. Lastly, the findings of the study revealed that 77 percent of the variance in African Generation Y students' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand is not explained by brand awareness, perceived quality and brand associations. Consequently, the opportunity exists to determine the other factors that influence African Generation Y students' brand loyalty towards their preferred clothing brand.

REFERENCES

Aaker, DA. & McLoughlin, D. (2010). *Strategic Market Management: Global Perspectives*. Hoboken: Wiley.

Abdullah, R.B., Ismail, N.B., Rahman, A.F.B.A., Suhaimin, M.B.M., Safie, S.K.B., Tajuddin, M.T.H.M., Armia, R.N., Mat, N.A.N., Derani, N., Samsudin, M.M. & Zain, R.A. (2012). The relationship between store brand and customer loyalty in retailing in Malaysia. *Asian Social Science*, 8(2), 171-184.

Bae, S. & Miller, J. (2009). Consumer decision-making styles for sport apparel: Gender comparisons between college consumers. *The ICHPER-SD Journal of Research in Health, Physical Education, Recreation, Sport & Dance*, 4(1), 40-45.

Bevan-Dye, A.L. (2015). African Generation Y students' propensity to engage in word-of-mouth communication on Facebook. In *International Conference on Economics and Business Management: EBM international conference* (pp.8-14). Phuket: Emirates Research Publishing.

Bevan-Dye, A.L., Dhurup, M. & Surujlal, J. (2009). Black Generation Y students' perceptions of national sport celebrity endorsers as role models. *African Journal for Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance*, 1(1), 172-188.

Brand South Africa (2014). *South Africa's Economy: Key Sectors*. <https://www.brandsouthafrica.com/investments-immigration/economynews/sa-economy-key-sectors>. Accessed 2017/07/06.

Buil, I., De Chernatony, L. & Martínez, E. (2013). Examining the role of advertising and sales promotions in brand equity creation. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(1), 115-122.

Chattopadhyay, T., Shivani, S. & Krishnan, M. (2010). Marketing mix elements influencing brand equity and brand choice. *Vikalpa*, 35(3), 67-84.

Chen, H.C., Green, R.D. & Miller, J. (2008). Male and female influence on hypermarket brand equity. *International Business and Economics Research Journal (IBER)*, 7(9), 9-20.

Chen, T.Y., Yeh, T.L. & Jheng, W.S. (2013). Factors influencing brand association. *African Journal of Business Management*, 7(19), 1914-1926.

Comins, L. (2016). *Demand for 'Fast Fashion' Helps Textile Sector Overcome Slump*. <http://www.ftwonline.co.za/article/116889/5192/Demand-for-fast-fashion-helps-textile-sector-overcome-slump>. Accessed 2017/07/23.

Conradie, E.S., Roberts-Lombard, M. & Klopper, H.B. (2014). The influence of eleven Ps: An internal marketing and brand awareness perspective in a service environment. *Southern African Business Review*, 18(1), 100-121.

Creutz, C. & Senning, L. (2006). Social Responsible Sponsorship – An Easy Way to a Stronger Brand? - A Study of Consumer Based Brand Equity and Consumer Packaged Food. Masters Thesis. Lund: Lund University.

Das, M.K. (2011). Brand loyalty and liveragability: An empirical study on some selected brands of soap. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(1), 162-172.

Department of higher education and training (DHET) (2016). *Statistics on Post-School Education and Training in South Africa*. <http://www.justice.gov.za/commissions/FeesHET/docs/2014-Statistics-Post-School-ET-SA.pdf>. Accessed 2016/09/16.

Dibb, S., Simkin, L., Pride, W.M. & Ferrell, O.C. (2012). *Marketing: Concepts and Strategies*. 6th ed. Andover: South-Western Cengage Learning.

Douglas, K. (2016). *Are South African Fashion Retailers Feeling Pressure From Global Brands?* <https://www.howwemadeitinafrica.com/south-african-fashion-retailers-feeling-pressure-global-brands/>. Accessed 2017/06/07.

Elliott, G., Rundle-Thiele, S. & Waller, D. (2012). *Marketing*. 2nd ed. Milton: Wiley.

Ergin, E.A., Özdemir, H. & Özsaçmacı, B. (2006). The effect of brand associations: A field study on turkish consumers. *International Business and Economics Research Journal*, 5(8), 65-74.

Fernandez, P.R. (2009). Impact of branding on gen Y's choice of clothing. *Journal of the South East Asia Research Centre for Communications and Humanities*, 1(1), 79-95.

Fernandez, P.R. & Lean, M.L. (2009). Chasing Gen Y: Unlocking the reasons for buying branded clothes. In *International Conferences on Economics, Business Management and Marketing* (pp.73-77). Singapore: International Association of Computer Science and Information Technology.

Field, A. (2009). *Discovering Statistics using SPSS*. 3rd edition. London: Sage.

Foscht, T., Schloffer, F., Maloles, C. & Chia, S.L. (2009). Assessing the outcomes of Generation-Y customers' loyalty. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 27(3), 218-241.

Funk, D. & Levis, A.M. (2009). *Conscious Branding*. New York: Business Expert Press.

Gil, R.B., Andrés, E.F. & Salinas, E.M. (2007). Family as a source of consumer-based brand equity. *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, 16(3), 188-199.

Gunther, M. (2016). *Pressure Mounts on Retailers to Reform Throwaway Clothing Culture*.

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2016/aug/10/pressure-mounts-on-retailers-to-reform-throwaway-clothing-culture>. Accessed 2017/06/07.

Hanzaee, K.H. & Aghasibeig, S. (2008). Generation Y female and male decision-making styles in Iran: are they different? *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 18(5), 521-537.

Healey, M. (2008). What is Branding? Essential Design Handbooks. Hove: Rotovision.

Huang, Z.J. & Cai, L.A. (2015). Modeling consumer-based brand equity for multinational hotel brands—When hosts become guests. *Tourism Management*, 46(2015), 431-443.

Jin, B. & Koh, A. (1999). Differences between South Korean male and female consumers in the clothing brand loyalty formation process: Model testing. *Clothing and Textiles Research Journal*, 17(3), 117-127.

Jooste, C.J., Strydom, J.W., Berndt, A. & Du Plessis, P.J. (2012). Applied Strategic Marketing. 4th ed. Cape Town: Pearson.

Kang, S. (2010). How do Korean Automobile Companies Adapt Brand Management to the Swedish Market: The Case of Kia Motors Sweden AB. Masters Thesis. Kalmar: Linnaeus University.

Kurtulus, K. & Ertekin, Z.Ö. (2015). Consumers' attitude to and choice of store brands in fashion apparel: Role of gender and shopping style. *METU Studies in Development*, 42(1), 1-28.

Lee, H.J., Kumar, A. & Kim, Y.K. (2010). Indian consumers' brand equity toward a US and local apparel brand. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 14(3), 469-485.

Lee, Y.C. (2011). m-Brand loyalty and post-adoption variations for the mobile data services: Gender differences. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(6), 2364-2371.

Levy, M. & Weitz, B.A. (2012). Retailing Management. 8th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Maher, A.A. (2014). Modeling the effects of an international crisis on brand equity. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 27(2), 74-84.

Mahlaka, R. (2017). *Not Even Christmas Could Save SA Retailers*. <http://citizen.co.za/business/1397041/not-even-christmas-save-sa-retailers/>. Accessed 2017/06/07.

Malik, M.E., Ghafoor, M.M., Iqbal, H.K., Riaz, U., Hassan, N., Mustafa, M. & Shahbaz, S. (2013). Importance of brand awareness and brand loyalty in assessing purchase intentions of consumer. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(5), 167-171.

Markert, J. (2004). Demographics of age: generational and cohort confusion. *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, 26(2), 11-25.

Matzler, K., Grabner-Kräuter, S. & Bidmon, S. (2006). The value-brand trust-brand loyalty chain: An analysis of some moderating variables. *Innovative Marketing*, 2(2), 76-88.

McDevitt, D. (2013). *What Makes a Brand Appeal to Generation Y?* <http://www.marketingmagazine.co.uk/article/1211416/makes-brand-appeal-generation-y>. Accessed 2015/08/09.

Mohammadian, M. & Dehabadi, H. (2012). Comparing the personality of three well-known sporting brands in Iran. *Management Science Letters*, 2(5), 1769-1778.

Moodley, N. (2016). *Consumers Under Pressure*. <http://citypress.news24.com/Personal-Finance/consumers-under-pressure-20161122>. Accessed 2016/07/23.

Nasrabadi, E.M. & Zandi, P. (2015). Investigating the impact of marketing mix on brand equity (The case study: consumers of Samsung's appliances in Tehran-Iran). *Visi Jurnal Akademik*, 2015(2), 20-26.

Neal, W. & Strauss, R. (2008). *Value Creation: the Power of Brand Equity*. Mason: South-Western Cengage Learning.

Pandian, S.P.K., Varathani S.P.N. & Keerthivasan, V. (2012). An Empirical study on consumer perception towards branded shirts in Trichy City. *International Journal of Marketing, Financial Services and Management Research*, 1(8), 18-32.

PRNewswire (2015). *With Increased Competition, Clothing and Apparel Companies Must Go the Extra Mile to Establish Brand Loyalty*. <http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/with-increased-competition-clothing->

and-apparel-companies-must-go-the-extra-mile-to-establish-brand-loyalty-300067601.html. Accessed 2015/09/24.

Sandner, P. (2009). Trademark filing strategies and their valuation: creating, hedging, modernizing, and extending brands. In P. Sandner (Ed.) *The Valuation of Intangible Assets* (pp.73-142). Wiesbaden: Gabler.

Schäfer, N. (2005). Brand Equity: An Approach to Value Based Brand Equity. Masters Thesis. Stellenbosch: University of Stellenbosch Business School.

Schawbel, D. (2015). *10 New Findings About the Millennial Consumer*. <http://www.forbes.com/sites/danschawbel/2015/01/20/10-new-findings-about-the-millennial-consumer/>. Accessed 2015/09/21.

Shabbir, J. & Safwam, N. (2014). Consumer shopping characteristic approach and gender differences in Pakistan. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 2(2), 1-28.

Singer, M. (2015). *The Clothing Insurrection: It's Time to Take on the Fashion Supply Chain*. <http://www.vogue.com/13268385/fashion-supply-chain-environmental-impact/>. Accessed 2015/06/11.

Sinha, N., Ahuja, V. & Medury, Y. (2011). Corporate blogs and internet marketing—Using consumer knowledge and emotion as strategic variables to develop Consumer engagement. *Journal of Database Marketing and Customer Strategy Management*, 18(3), 185-199.

Spindler, S. (2011). *The Impact of Web 2.0 on Brand Management: How to Use Web 2.0 Efficiently to Create a Higher Brand Value*. Munich: Grin.

Stats SA (2016). *Mid-year Population Estimates: 2016*. <https://www.statssa.gov.za/publications/P0302/P03022016.pdf>. Accessed 2017/06/07.

Stats SA (2017). *Retail Trade Sales (Preliminary): February 2017*. <http://www.statssa.gov.za/publications/P62421/P62421February2017.pdf>. Accessed 2017/06/07.

Student Village (2017). *Student Spend Report 2017: A Brand Me Generation, Buying Experiences*. <http://www.studentmarketing.co.za/student-spend-report-2017-brand-generation-buying-experiences/>. Accessed 2017/07/20.

Tan, M.Z., Teoh, S.Y., Tan, C.E., Teo, P.N. & Tan, M.K. (2013). Perceived purchase intention of undergraduates towards luxury brands: Case study. In M.B.

Basri, K.A. Rahman, M.S.M. Salleh, Z. Rlni & S. Ridzuan (Ed.) *International Conference on Social Science Research* (pp. 735-746). Selangor: WorldConferences.net.

Tong, X. & Hawley, J.M. (2009). Creating brand equity in the Chinese clothing market: the effect of selected marketing activities on brand equity dimensions. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 13(4), 566-581.

Ünal, S. & Ercis, A. (2008). The role of gender differences in determining the style of consumer decision-making. *Bogazici Journal*, 22(1-2), 89-106.

West, D.C., Ford, J.B. & Ibrahim, E. (2010). *Strategic Marketing: Creating Competitive Advantage*. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford.

Yasin, B. (2009). The role of gender on Turkish consumers' decision-making styles. *ACR Asia-Pacific Advances*, 8(1), 301-308.

Yoo, B., Donthu, N. & Lee, S. (2000). An examination of selected marketing mix elements and brand equity. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(2), 195-211.